

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/826,644	04/16/2004	Lila Shepley	22803-2	2290		
7590 10/18/2006			EXAMINER			
John S. Beulick Armstrong Teasdale LLP			SILBERMANN, JOANNE			
Suite 2600	suale LLF	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
One Metropolit		3611				
St. Louis, MO	63102		DATE MAILED: 10/18/200	DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan		10/826,64	4	SHEPLEY, LILA				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Joanne Sill		3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING THE MAILING THE MAILING THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no even n. eriod will apply and will tatute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	I. hely filed the mailing date of this condition (35 U.S.C. & 133)	•			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Q</i>	3 August 2006.						
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-11,14 and 15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-11,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election re	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	see the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certifi	ed copies not receive	a.				
Attachmen	tie)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/826,644 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: no basis is provided for "a coupling mechanism" (as in claims 8 and 15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolpa in view of Siebe.
- 4. Wolpa teaches a container identification system including fastener mechanism 52 configured to extend around an outer perimeter of container 15 (Figure 2) and tag holder 20 coupled to the fastener mechanism and including inner and outer surfaces defining cavity 32. The cavity has a circumferential length that is less than the length of the fastener mechanism and is sized to receive indicia. Identification tag 44 is sized for insertion into the cavity and is configured to receive indicia for identifying the container. The ends of the fastener mechanism are coupled together by a coupling mechanism (column 5 lines 20-23).
- 5. Wolpa does not teach an attachment mechanism for attaching the tag holder to the fastening mechanism, however this is well known in the art. Siebe teaches a price

Application/Control Number: 10/826,644 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

tag holder which includes a mechanical fastening device for securing frame 1 to a fastener mechanism (9). The first portion of the attachment mechanism is tongues 6, 7 and 8 and the second part is the sections 12 and 13 of the bent wire. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the container identification device of Wolpa to include a mechanical fastening device that secures the tag holder to the fastening mechanism to removably support a price card in a holder on an article or merchandise.

- 6. Claims 3, 4, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolpa and Siebeas applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Siegrist.
- 7. Wolpa and Siebe do not teach a tag that includes an erasable outer surface with a polypropylene coating. Siegrist teaches a reusable information tag (20, 30) having an erasable outer surface of a polypropylene coating (top layer 44) to provide an information tag in which information can be easily written and erased which allows the tag to be used in a variety of articles.
- 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the device of Wolpa to include a reusable information tag having an erasable outer surface of a polypropylene coating, as taught by Siegrist, to provide an information tag in which information can be easily written and erased which allows the tag to be repeatedly used to identify contents of the container even where the contents change.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/826,644 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

9. Applicant's arguments filed 03 August 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Siebe does not teach first and second portions of an attachment mechanism, however this is shown in Siebe, in Figure 3. Tongues 6, 7 and 8 form a first portion and bent portions of the wire, 12 and 13 form a second portion.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 571-272-6653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,644

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Johnne Silbermann Rrimary Examiner Art Unit 3611 Page 5

js 16 October, 2006